

O-C BY COMPUTER

EMILIA PISANI BELSERENE
Maria Mitchell Observatory
Nantucket, MA 02554

Abstract

Two methods are introduced in which O-C (Observed minus Calculated) is calculated automatically by allowing the computer to find residuals of observations from an adopted standard light curve. In one method the residuals are directly in the time coordinate, one for each magnitude. The other is a non-linear least squares method, minimizing the sum of the squares of the residuals in magnitude, to give a mean O-C for the group.

* * * * *

Two methods of finding O-C by computer have been developed at the Maria Mitchell Observatory. The general idea of both is illustrated in Figure 1, in which the (unknown) true variation of a periodic variable is supposed to be illustrated by the continuous curve. The dots outline a computed curve, which will have been based on previous studies of the star. Its maxima occur at a series of calculated times, C, given by whatever elements are to be tested and refined in the O-C analysis.

The quantity required is the size of the correction to be applied to the computed maxima (or any points along the computed curve) to bring them into coincidence with the true curve. This (unknown and unknowable) correction is illustrated by the horizontal arrows at the top of the figure, labeled "True-Comp." An approximation of "O-C" to "True-Comp" is to be found from observations.

The squares in Figure 1 represent the set of observations, generally following the "true" curve but differing from it because of observational errors in the magnitudes. It is from these observations that we will find O-C, our best approximation to "True-Comp." Many methods have been used over the years, ranging upwards from simple lists of single observed maxima. At the Maria Mitchell Observatory we have been favoring a graphical method in which a plot of a "Comp" curve is superimposed on a folded light curve, so that a group of many observations can define O-C to high precision. Now we have automated the procedure for use on a microcomputer. Two rather different methods are illustrated by arrows in Figure 1 leading from the "Comp" curve to a few observed points.

The horizontal arrows toward the left of the figure illustrate a method programmed in BASIC by Holliman (1986). For each magnitude a pair of possible values of O-C is calculated (unless the star is near minimum or maximum at the time of the observation). The choice between the two is based on the consistency of the values of several observations close together in time. It is clear by inspection of Figure 1 that the shorter arrows in each set are consistent with each other while the longer ones are not. The process is what variable star workers frequently do graphically when they are testing trial periods by plotting magnitude against phase, perhaps using different symbols for observations made within different time intervals. In Holliman's method the residuals are calculated by solving a Fourier series approximation to the light curve for the time (or phase) at which each observed magnitude is expected to occur. The choice between the two possibilities is also made automatically.

The vertical arrows leading from the Computed curve to three of the observations near the right of Figure 1 illustrate the other method. The sum of the squares of a group of residuals like these is calculated. The sum will be large if the calculated curve is not a close approximation to the true one, and it is from the size of the sum that the method finds its own approximation to the desired "True-Comp." Various trial values are added to the date (or phase) along the "Comp" curve, to give trial approximations to the "True" curve, such as the one shown in Figure 2 by crosses. The residuals of the same three observations from the trial curve are drawn on Figure 2, and it is clear that there has been an improvement.

By trial and error a value of "Trial-Comp" is found which will make the sum of the squares of the residuals a minimum. This procedure, which I have programmed in FORTRAN, is an application of the principle of least squares. The usual **method** of least squares cannot be applied to the case, since the method requires that the observed quantity be the dependent variable (y) in a simple linear equation, such as $y = mx + b$, in which the unknown or unknowns occur as the independent variable or variables (x). Magnitude is the dependent variable in our case; O-C (or "Trial-Comp") is the independent variable; the relation is far from linear.

With a computer, however, the **principle** of least squares can be used by brute force, in cases like this where the quicker linear method does not apply. The computer finds not only the best trial, which is then adopted as O-C for the group, but also finds the way the sum of the squares of the residuals increases for poorer trials on either side. The rate of this increase gives the standard error of the derived O-C and therefore the so-called "one-sigma" confidence region, i.e., the best value plus or minus one standard deviation. There is about a 68% probability that the true value lies within this confidence region.

The non-linear least squares method was applied by Maria Mitchell staff members this summer (Barton 1986; Lysaght 1986; Wilner 1986). The Fourier series method was applied by its author to the RR Lyrae star, V Com (Holliman 1986b).

This program development and the microcomputer on which it was carried out are part of a project supported by the National Science Foundation under grant AST 83-20491. Earlier versions of the nonlinear least squares method were developed on main-frame computers at Columbia University, the Pennsylvania State University, and the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. I am grateful for this support and for the grants of computer time.

REFERENCES

- Barton, A. S. 1986, *Journ. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, this issue.
 Holliman, J. H. 1986a, *Journ. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, this issue.
 _____ 1986b, submitted to *Journ. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*
 Lysaght, M. G. 1986, *Journ. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, this issue.
 Wilner, D. J. 1986, *Journ. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, this issue.




Figure 1. The true light curve of a variable star (continuous curve); an approximation to it (dots) computed from previous work; and a sample of observations (squares). Arrows pointing to some of the observations are their residuals, in time or in magnitude, from the computed curve. The methods described in the text use the residuals to find approximations to the shift "True-Comp" required to bring the curves into coincidence.




Figure 2. The same situation as in Figure 1, but with the standard curve replaced by a trial curve (crosses) that is a closer approximation to the true situation. The trial is formed by shifting the computed curve by an amount, "Trial-Comp," indicated at the top of the figure.