

A FOURIER SERIES METHOD FOR PLOTTING O-C GRAPHS

JOHN H. HOLLIMAN
Maria Mitchell Observatory
Nantucket, MA 02554

Abstract

A Fourier series representation of a light curve permits the calculation of O-C values for individual observations.

* * * * *

The Fourier Series method of O-C analysis starts with a knowledge of the basic shape of the star's light curve. With a good first approximation of the period, a model light curve (magnitude vs. phase) can be plotted for the star. Such a graph defines changes in magnitude quite well because many cycles are forced to overlap each other. In plotting this light curve it is preferable to choose a subset of the total observations that are relatively close together in time. This precaution eliminates any significant scatter along the abscissa due to the slightly incorrect period approximation. Also, there should be a sufficient number of observations in order to clearly define the curve.

After this light curve has been plotted, the scatter is reduced by condensing the observations into a well-defined shape. One method for obtaining a neat curve is to form running means, i.e., to designate each point in the original graph as the beginning of a set of points sequential in phase. The number of points in each set is arbitrary but was typically between five and seven in an application of this method to V Comae Berenices (Holliman 1986). A point is then plotted on the condensed graph at the average magnitude and average phase of all points in a given set. Thus, the number of sets is equal to the number of observations and each set produces one point on the condensed graph.

The next step is to express the light curve as a Fourier series. Integration is performed over one cycle of the condensed graph to find the Fourier series coefficients, by the formulae in Swartz (1973). My experience with V Com, an RR Lyrae star of subclass *ab*, showed that six to eight terms of the Fourier series typically represent the condensed graph most accurately. The sum of these terms provides an equation expressing magnitude as a function of phase.

Calculated phases for each observed magnitude are now found by plugging successive approximations of phase into the Fourier equation until it yields the observed magnitude. Since the light curve from which this Fourier series representation is derived need not have a peak at phase zero all phases plugged into the equation must have an offset constant applied to them. This constant effectively slides the graph of the Fourier equation along the abscissa so that its peak will occur at phase zero. The correction guarantees that the calculated phase of an observation at peak intensity will be zero, as defined by the adopted epoch and period.

For every magnitude other than maximum and minimum there will be two phases, one each on the ascending and descending branches of the light curve. To choose between them the computer analyzes the calculated phases of observations close together in time. The phase values which are most consistent with each other are then selected. Unfortunately, not every observed magnitude can produce a useful phase. The light curve for a type RRab variable, for example, usually levels out at the faintest magnitudes. As a result, the very faintest observations in the cycle suggest phase values too sensitive to changes in brightness, so they must have been removed from this analysis. For

magnitudes that are retained, the weight of the derived phase can be made to depend on the slope of the light curve at that magnitude.

This procedure, which has been programmed in BASIC for an IBM PC, gives a value of C for each observation, namely the phase at which the observed magnitude is calculated to occur. O , the phase at which it was observed to occur, is found in the usual way by taking the fractional part of $(JD-Epoch)/Period$.

The procedure is automatic, but it is important for the user to verify graphically that the shape of the observed light curve closely matches the shape given by the sum of the terms of the Fourier series. Verifying the appropriateness of the two curves will assure the validity of this method.

This research was conducted with the help of Dr. Emilia Belserene, under National Science Foundation grant AST 83-20491.

REFERENCES

- Holliman, J. H. 1986b, *Journ. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, this issue.
Swartz, C. E. 1973, *Used Math*, Prentice-Hall, p. 195.